Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Discrete Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

On *b*-coloring of the Kneser graphs[☆]

Ramin Javadi, Behnaz Omoomi

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Isfahan University of Technology, 84156-83111, Isfahan, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 April 2008 Received in revised form 20 January 2009 Accepted 22 January 2009 Available online 23 February 2009

Keywords: b-chromatic number b-coloring Dominating coloring b-continuous graph Kneser graph Steiner triple system

1. Introduction

ABSTRACT

A *b*-coloring of a graph *G* by *k* colors is a proper *k*-coloring of *G* such that in each color class there exists a vertex having neighbors in all the other k - 1 color classes. The *b*-chromatic number of a graph *G*, denoted by $\varphi(G)$, is the maximum *k* for which *G* has a *b*-coloring by *k* colors. It is obvious that $\chi(G) \leq \varphi(G)$. A graph *G* is *b*-continuous if for every *k* between $\chi(G)$ and $\varphi(G)$ there is a *b*-coloring of *G* by *k* colors. In this paper, we study the *b*-coloring of Kneser graphs K(n, k) and determine $\varphi(K(n, k))$ for some values of *n* and *k*. Moreover, we prove that K(n, 2) is *b*-continuous for n > 17.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Let *G* be a graph without loops and multiple edges with vertex set *V*(*G*) and edge set *E*(*G*). A proper *k*-coloring of *G* is a function *c* defined from *V*(*G*) onto a set of colors $C = \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ such that every two adjacent vertices have different colors. In fact, for every *i*, $1 \le i \le k$, the set $c^{-1}(i)$ is a nonempty independent set of vertices which is called *color class i*. The minimum cardinality *k* for which *G* has a proper *k*-coloring is the *chromatic number* of *G*, denoted by $\chi(G)$.

A *b*-coloring of *G* by *k* colors is a proper *k*-coloring of the vertices of *G* such that in each color class *i* there exists a vertex x_i having neighbors in all the other k - 1 color classes. Such a vertex x_i is called a *b*-dominating vertex, and the set of vertices $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k\}$ is called a *b*-dominating system. The *b*-chromatic number of *G*, denoted by $\varphi(G)$, is the maximum *k* for which *G* has a *b*-coloring by *k* colors. It is an elementary exercise to observe that every proper coloring with $\chi(G)$ colors is a *b*-coloring. The *b*-chromatic number was introduced by R.W. Irving and D.F. Manlove in [4]. (See also [5,6].)

Immediate and useful bound for $\varphi(G)$ is:

$$\chi(G) \le \varphi(G) \le \Delta(G) + 1,$$

where $\Delta(G)$ is the maximum degree of vertices in *G*.

The graph *G* is *b*-continuous if for every *k* between $\chi(G)$ and $\varphi(G)$ there is a *b*-coloring with *k* colors. A peculiar characteristic of *b*-coloring is that not all graphs are *b*-continuous. For example, the 3-dimensional cube Q_3 is not *b*-continuous: $\chi(Q_3) = 2$ and $\varphi(Q_3) = 4$, but Q_3 has no *b*-coloring with three colors [4]. Only a few classes of graphs are known to be *b*-continuous [1,3].

Let $S = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and let V be the set of all k-subsets of S, where $k \le \frac{n}{2}$. The *Kneser graph* with parameters n and k, denoted by K(n, k), is the graph with vertex set V such that two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding subsets are disjoint. It is known that $\chi(K(n, k)) = n - 2k + 2$ [8]. In this paper, we study b-coloring of Kneser graphs. We determine $\varphi(K(2k + 1, k))$ for every k and $\varphi(K(n, 2))$ for every n. Also, we prove that K(n, 2) is b-continuous for $n \ge 17$.



(1)

The research is supported by Isfahan University of Technology. *E-mail address:* bomoomi@cc.iut.ac.ir (B. Omoomi).

⁰⁰¹²⁻³⁶⁵X/\$ – see front matter s 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2009.01.017

2. Steiner triple systems

In this section, we recall some necessary definitions and constructions of Steiner triple systems which will be used in the proofs of our main theorems.

A *quasigroup* of order *n* is a pair (Q, \circ) , where *Q* is a set of size *n* and " \circ " is a binary operation on *Q* such that for every pair of elements $a, b \in Q$, the equations $a \circ x = b$ and $y \circ a = b$ have unique solutions. A quasigroup (Q, \circ) with $Q = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ is said to be *idempotent* if $i \circ i = i$, for $1 \le i \le n$ and *commutative* if $i \circ j = j \circ i$, for all $1 \le i, j \le n$. A quasigroup (Q, \circ) with $Q = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ is said to be *half-idempotent* if for $1 \le i \le n$, $i \circ i = (n \circ i) \circ (n \circ i) = i$. A quasigroup (Q', \circ) , where $Q' \subseteq Q$, is called a sub-quasigroup of quasigroup (Q, \circ) .

Example 1. Let n = 2k + 1 and consider the additive group $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$. Since n is odd, for each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ where $i \neq j$, we have $2i \neq 2j$. Therefore, there is a permutation σ on the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ such that for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, $\sigma(2i) = i$. Now we define the quasigroup (Q_1, \circ) where $Q_1 = \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $i \circ j = \sigma(i + j)$ for every $i, j \in Q_1$. This quasigroup is an idempotent commutative quasigroup.

Let n = 2k and consider the additive group $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$. In this case for each $i, 1 \le i \le k, i + i = (i + k) + (i + k) = 2i$. We consider a permutation σ on the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ such that for each $i, 1 \le i \le k, \sigma(2i) = i$. Now we define the quasigroup (Q_2, \circ) where $Q_2 = \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $i \circ j = \sigma(i+j)$ for every $i, j \in Q_2$. This quasigroup is a half-idempotent commutative quasigroup.

A design with parameters $t - (n, k, \lambda)$ is an ordered pair (S, \mathcal{B}) , where S is a set of *n* points or symbols and \mathcal{B} is a family of *k*-subsets of S called *blocks*, such that every *t* elements of S occur together in exactly λ blocks of \mathcal{B} . When $\lambda = 1$, it is called a *Steiner system*, and when k = 3, it is called a *triple system*. A design with parameters t = 2, k = 3 and $\lambda = 1$ with *n* points is called a *Steiner triple system of order n*, denoted by STS(n).

It is known that a Steiner triple system of order *n* exists if and only if $n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$ [7].

2.1. The Bose Construction: $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$

Let n = 6k + 3 and (Q, \circ) be an idempotent commutative quasigroup of order 2k + 1 and define $S = Q \times \{1, 2, 3\}$. We denote an ordinary element of *S* by x_i , where $x \in Q$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and define \mathcal{B} to contain the following two types of triples:

Type 1: for $1 \le i \le 2k + 1$, $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\} \in \mathcal{B}$,

Type 2: for $1 \le i < j \le 2k + 1$, $\{i_1, j_1, (i \circ j)_2\}$, $\{i_2, j_2, (i \circ j)_3\}$, $\{i_3, j_3, (i \circ j)_1\} \in \mathcal{B}$.

Then (S, \mathcal{B}) is a Steiner triple system of order 6k + 3 [7].

2.2. The Skolem Construction: $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$

Let n = 6k + 1 and (Q, \circ) be a half-idempotent commutative quasigroup of order 2k and define $S = \{\infty\} \cup (Q \times \{1, 2, 3\})$. We denote an ordinary point in $Q \times \{1, 2, 3\}$ by x_i , where $x \in Q$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and define \mathcal{B} as follows:

Type 1: for $1 \le i \le k$, $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\} \in \mathcal{B}$,

Type 2: for $1 \le i \le k$, { ∞ , $(k+i)_1, i_2$ }, { ∞ , $(k+i)_2, i_3$ }, { ∞ , $(k+i)_3, i_1$ } $\in \mathcal{B}$,

Type 3: for $1 \le i < j \le 2k$, $\{i_1, j_1, (i \circ j)_2\}$, $\{i_2, j_2, (i \circ j)_3\}$, $\{i_3, j_3, (i \circ j)_1\} \in \mathcal{B}$.

Then (S, \mathcal{B}) is a Steiner triple system of order 6k + 1 [7].

Above we have constructed Steiner triple systems of all orders $n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$. Although no STS(6k + 5) exists, we can get very close.

A pairwise balanced design or simply PBD is an ordered pair (S, \mathcal{B}) , where S is a finite set of points and \mathcal{B} is a collection of subsets of S called blocks, such that each pair of distinct elements of S occurs together in exactly one block of \mathcal{B} . When |S| = n it is denoted by PBD(n).

For all $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, we produce a *PBD* of order *n* with one block of size 5 and others of size 3, called 3-blocks.

2.3. The n = 6k + 5 Construction

Let (Q, \circ) be an idempotent commutative quasiqroup of order 2k + 1 and α be the permutation $(1, 2)(3, 4) \dots (2k - 1, 2k)(2k + 1)$. Let $S = \{\infty_1, \infty_2\} \cup (Q \times \{1, 2, 3\})$, we denote an ordinary point in $Q \times \{1, 2, 3\}$ by x_i , where $x \in Q$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Now define \mathcal{B} to contain the following blocks:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Type 1:} & \{\infty_1, \infty_2, (2k+1)_1, (2k+1)_2, (2k+1)_3\} \in \mathcal{B}, \\ \text{Type 2:} & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k, \{\infty_1, (2i-1)_1, (2i-1)_2\}, \{\infty_1, (2i-1)_3, (2i)_1\}, \{\infty_1, (2i)_2, (2i)_3\}, \\ & \{\infty_2, (2i-1)_2, (2i-1)_3\}, \{\infty_2, (2i)_1, (2i)_2\}, \{\infty_2, (2i-1)_1, (2i)_3\} \in \mathcal{B}, \\ \text{Type 3:} & \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq 2k+1, \{i_1, j_1, (i \circ j)_2\}, \{i_2, j_2, (i \circ j)_3\}, \{i_3, j_3, (\alpha(i \circ j))_1\} \in \mathcal{B}. \end{array}$

Then (S, \mathcal{B}) is a PBD(6k + 5) with exactly one block of size 5 and all others of size 3 [7].

For results in later sections we need some steiner triple systems containing another Steiner triple system, called subsystem.

Theorem A ([2]).

(i) For every two integers $n, m \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$ such that $n \ge 2m + 1$, there is an STS(n) containing a subsystem STS(m).

(ii) For every two integers $n, m \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ such that $n \ge 2m + 1$, there is a PBD(n) which contains a PBD(m).

A Steiner quasigroup (Q, \circ) is a commutative quasigroup, where $i \circ i = i$ and $(i \circ j) \circ j = i$, for every $i, j \in Q$ [2]. Given a Steiner triple system, we can construct a steiner quasigroup by setting $x \circ y = z$ when $\{x, y, z\}$ is a block of the design or when x = y = z. Also given a *PBD* with one block of size 5 and others of size 3 and an idempotent commutative quasigroup of order 5, (Q', \circ') , we can construct an idempotent commutative quasigroup by setting $x \circ y = z$ when $\{x, y, z\}$ is a 3-block of the *PBD* or when x = y = z; and $x \circ y = x \circ' y$ when x, y are both in the block of size 5. Thus we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1. For every odd integer $n, n \neq 5$, there exists an idempotent commutative quasigroup of order n containing a sub-quasigroup of order 3.

3. *b*-chromatic number of the Kneser graph

In this section, we determine $\varphi(K(2k + 1, k))$ for every *k* and $\varphi(K(n, 2))$ for every *n*.

Theorem 1. For every integer $k \ge 3$,

 $\varphi(K(2k+1,k)) = k+2.$

Proof. We know that $\Delta(K(2k + 1, k)) = k + 1$, so by Inequality (1), $\varphi(K(2k + 1, k)) \le k + 2$. To prove the equality we describe a *b*-coloring of K(2k + 1, k) by k + 2 colors as follows. For $i, 1 \le i \le k$, we define the color class *i* to contain the set of vertices

 $\{\{k+1, k+2, \dots, 2k+1\} \setminus \{k+i\}\} \cup \{\{1, 2, \dots, k\} \setminus \{i\} \cup \{k+j\} \mid 1 \le j \le k+1, j \ne i\},\$

the color class k + 1 contains the set of vertices

 $\{k + 1, k + 2, \dots, 2k\} \cup \{\{1, 2, \dots, k\} \setminus \{j\} \cup \{k + j\} \mid 1 \le j \le k\}$

and the color class k + 2 contains the set $\{\{1, 2, \dots, k\}\}$.

Now we complete the coloring as follows. Let $A \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., 2k + 1\}$ be a vertex distinct from the vertices in the color classes above. If $2k + 1 \in A$ then we choose an integer $i \in A^c \cap \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ and add A to the color class i. If $2k + 1 \notin A$ and $2k \in A$ then we choose an integer $i \in A^c \cap \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ and add A to the color class i. If $2k, 2k + 1 \notin A$ then we add A to the color class k + 2. It is not hard to see that the vertices in each class have mutually nonempty intersections. Hence, such a coloring is a proper coloring.

In this proper coloring the set of vertices $\{\{k + 1, k + 2, ..., 2k + 1\} \setminus \{k + i\} \mid 1 \le i \le k + 1, \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ is a *b*-dominating system. Because, the vertex $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$ is adjacent to all vertices $\{k + 1, k + 2, ..., 2k + 1\} \setminus \{k + i\}$, $1 \le i \le k + 1$. Moreover, for a fixed integer $i_0, 1 \le i_0 \le k + 1$, the vertex $\{k + 1, k + 2, ..., 2k + 1\} \setminus \{k + i_0\}$ is adjacent to the vertices $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$ and $\{1, 2, ..., k\} \setminus \{i\} \cup \{k + i_0\}, 1 \le i \le k, i \ne i_0$ and for $1 \le i_0 \le k$, this vertex is adjacent to the vertex $\{1, 2, ..., k\} \setminus \{i_0\} \cup \{k + i_0\}$. \Box

In the sequel, we are going to determine $\varphi(K(n, 2))$. First we mention some facts, terminology and lemmas which will be used in the proof of the main theorem.

Fact 1. By the definition of STS(n), it is obvious that every Steiner triple system of order *n* is in fact an edge decomposition of the complete graph K_n into triangles.

Fact 2. Each vertex in K(n, 2) which is a 2-subset of the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ corresponds to an edge in the complete graph K_n with vertex set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Hence, two vertices of K(n, 2) are nonadjacent if and only if the corresponding edges in K_n are adjacent.

Fact 3. If *A* is an independent set of vertices in K(n, 2), then either all vertices in *A* have a common element, say *a*, or $A = \{\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$, for some $a, b, c \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. In other words an independent set of vertices in K(n, 2) corresponds to a star subgraph with center *a* or a triangle subgraph in K_n . From now on we call the independent set (color class) in K(n, 2) of the first form *starlike* with center *a* and the second form *triangular*. Moreover, for simplicity we denote the independent set $\{\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ with $\{a, b, c\}$. Since every proper coloring is a partition of vertices into independent sets of vertices, we can consider every proper coloring of K(n, 2) as an edge decomposition of the complete graph K_n into star and triangle subgraphs.

A set of vertices *S* is called a *dominating set*, whenever every vertex not in *S* has a neighbor in *S*. A dominating set *S* in *G* is called an *independent dominating set* when the vertices in *S* are mutually nonadjacent. The following proposition is a fact about dominating sets in Kneser graphs.

Proposition 2. Let $S = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. If T is a subset of S of size 2k - 1, then the set of all k-subsets of T is an independent dominating set in the K(n, k).

Proof. Let $T \subseteq S = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, |T| = 2k - 1 and A be a vertex in K(n, k) for which $A \not\subseteq T$. So $|A \cap T| \leq k - 1$ and there is a k-subset of T, say B, for which $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Therefore, the vertices A and B are adjacent in K(n, k). Obviously, every two k-subsets of T intersect, so they are not adjacent in K(n, k). The statement follows. \Box

By the proposition above, when a Steiner system with some special parameters exists, we can find a lower bound for the *b*-chromatic number of K(n, k).

Theorem 2. If (S, \mathcal{B}) is a k - (n, 2k - 1, 1) Steiner system, then $\varphi(K(n, k)) \ge |\mathcal{B}|$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{|\mathcal{B}|}\}$. For each $i, 1 \le i \le |\mathcal{B}|$, we define the set of all *k*-subsets of B_i as the color class *i*. Since $|B_i| = 2k - 1$, by Proposition 2, each class *i* is an independent set of vertices, so this partition is a proper coloring of K(n, k). Moreover, by Proposition 2, each class *i* is a dominating set. Therefore, each element in a color class *j* has neighbors in all the other color classes. Hence, this partition is a *b*-coloring of K(n, k) by $|\mathcal{B}|$ colors. \Box

Lemma 1. Assume that *c* is a proper coloring of K(n, 2) and A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_t , $|A_i| \ge 3$, $1 \le i \le t$, are the starlike color classes in *c*, with centers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_t , respectively. Then *c* is a *b*-coloring of K(n, 2) if and only if the following conditions hold.

(i) a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_t are distinct,

(ii) every 2-subset of the set $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_t\}$ is in $\bigcup_{k=1}^t A_k$, and

(iii) for each i, $1 \le i \le t$, there exists an element $x_i \notin \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_t\}$, where $\{a_i, x_i\} \in A_i$.

Proof. Assume that *c* is a *b*-coloring of K(n, 2). Suppose that $a_i = a_j$ for some $i \neq j$. Hence, $A_i \cup A_j$ is an independent set in K(n, 2). This means that no vertex in the color class A_i has a neighbor in the color class A_j , which contradicts that *c* is a *b*-coloring. So $a_i \neq a_j$ for all $1 \le i \ne j \le t$.

Now consider an arbitrary 2-subset $\{a_i, a_j\}$ of the set $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_t\}$. If $\{a_i, a_j\} \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^t A_k$, then this vertex is in a triangular color class, say $\{a_i, a_j, b\}$. In this color class, the vertices $\{a_i, a_j\}$ and $\{a_i, b\}$ are not *b*-dominating vertices because they have no neighbor in the color class A_i . The vertex $\{a_j, b\}$ also is not a *b*-dominating vertex since it has no neighbor in the color class A_i . The vertex $\{a_i, a_j, b\}$ also is not a *b*-dominating vertex since it has no neighbor in the color class A_j . This is a contradiction. Thus $\{a_i, a_j\} \in \bigcup_{k=1}^t A_k$, for all *i*, *j*. Since in each starlike color class A_i we must have a *b*-dominating vertex, the property (iii) is obviously concluded.

Now assume that *c* is a proper coloring of K(n, 2) that satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii). It is enough to show that in each color class of *c*, there is a *b*-dominating vertex. In the starlike color classes A_i , $1 \le i \le t$, the vertex $\{a_i, x_i\}$ is a *b*-dominating vertex, because in each color class A_j , $j \ne i$, there exists a vertex $\{a_j, y\}$ such that $y \ne a_i$, x_i . Moreover, by Proposition 2 each triangular color class is a dominating set. Therefore, the vertex $\{a_i, x_i\}$ has neighbors in all color classes. On the other hand for each triangular color class $\{a, b, c\}$, by (ii), we have $|\{a, b, c\} \cap \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_t\}| \le 1$. Hence there exists at least two elements, say *a* and *b*, with *a*, $b \notin \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_t\}$. Since $|A_i| \ge 3$, the vertex $\{a, b\}$ has neighbors in all starlike color classes. Furthermore, by Proposition 2 each triangular color class is a dominating set. So the vertex $\{a, b\}$ is a *b*-dominating vertex. \Box

Proposition 3. *If* $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ *then* $\varphi(K(n, 2)) \ge \frac{n(n-1)}{6} - \frac{1}{3}$.

Proof. If $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ then by the 6k + 5 construction given in Section 2, we have a *PBD*(*n*) with one block of size 5, say {1, 2, 3, 4, 5}, and 3-blocks otherwise. In this construction, number of 3-blocks is $\frac{n(n-1)}{6} - \frac{10}{3}$. Now we provide a *b*-coloring of K(n, 2). We consider each 3-block as a triangular color class and define the other color classes as {{1, 2}, {1, 3}, {1, 4}, {1, 5}}, {{2, 3}, {2, 4}, {2, 5}}, and {{3, 4}, {3, 5}, {4, 5}}. This is an edge decomposition of the complete graph K_n into stars and triangles, so by Fact 3 this is a proper coloring of K(n, 2). Furthermore, this coloring satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1 and so is a *b*-coloring of K(n, 2). Hence

$$\varphi(K(n,2)) \ge \frac{n(n-1)}{6} - \frac{10}{3} + 3 = \frac{n(n-1)}{6} - \frac{1}{3}.$$

Theorem 3. For every positive integer $n, n \neq 8$, we have:

$$\varphi(K(n,2)) = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{n(n-1)}{6} \right\rfloor & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} \right\rfloor + 3 & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We prove the theorem for two cases *n* is even and *n* is odd.

Case 1. n is even.

First we find an upper bound for $\varphi(K(n, 2))$. Let *c* be a *b*-coloring of K(n, 2) by φ colors and *t* starlike color classes with centers 1, ..., *t* of sizes n_1, \ldots, n_t , respectively. Then,

$$|V(K(n,2))| = \binom{n}{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} n_i + 3(\varphi - t).$$
(2)

By Fact 3, the coloring c corresponds to an edge decomposition of the complete graph K_n into stars and triangles. For every vertex $i \in V(K_n)$, the number of edges incident to i in the triangles of the decomposition is even. Since n is even, there is an edge incident to i in a star subgraph in the decomposition. Therefore, for each i satisfying $t + 1 \le i \le n$ there is a vertex in K(n, 2) containing i in the starlike color classes 1 to t. Moreover, by Lemma 1, every 2-subset of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$ is in the starlike color classes. Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_i \ge (n-t) + \frac{t(t-1)}{2} = n + \frac{t(t-3)}{2}.$$

Hence.

$$\binom{n}{2} \ge n + \frac{t(t-9)}{2} + 3\varphi.$$

So

$$\varphi \le \frac{n(n-3)}{6} - \frac{t(t-9)}{6}$$

The minimum of t(t - 9) occurs in t = 4 and t = 5. Therefore,

$$\varphi \le \left\lfloor \frac{n(n-3)}{6} + \frac{10}{3} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} \right\rfloor + 3.$$
(3)

Now we find a lower bound for $\varphi(K(n, 2))$.

Case 1.1. n = 6k.

We consider an STS(6k - 3) with the Bose construction. As shown in Section 2, in this construction there are 2k - 1disjoint blocks of Type 1. We denote these blocks by $\{a_1, b_1, c_1\}, \{a_2, b_2, c_2\}, \ldots, \{a_{2k-1}, b_{2k-1}, c_{2k-1}\}$. By Fact 1, this STS is an edge decomposition of the complete graph K_{n-3} into triangles. Now we add three new points a, b, c and then construct a proper coloring of K(n, 2) by $\varphi_0 = \frac{n(n-3)}{6} + 3$ colors or equivalently an edge decomposition of the complete graph K_n into φ_0 stars and triangles.

We consider every block of Type 2 in the STS(6k - 3) as one triangular color class. The other color classes are defined as follows. Color class A consists of

 $\{a, c_1\}, \{a, c_2\}, \ldots, \{a, c_{2k-1}\}, \{a, b\}.$

Color class B consists of

 $\{b, a_1\}, \{b, a_2\}, \ldots, \{b, a_{2k-1}\}, \{b, c\}.$

Color class C consists of

 $\{c, b_1\}, \{c, b_2\}, \ldots, \{c, b_{2k-1}\}, \{c, a\}.$

Also for each *i*, $1 \le i \le 2k - 1$, we define three triangular color classes

$$\{a, a_i, b_i\}, \{b, b_i, c_i\}, \{c, c_i, a_i\}.$$

In the STS(6k - 3) the number of blocks is $\frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{6}$, of which $2k - 1 = \frac{n-3}{3}$ blocks are of Type 1. Therefore, the number of color classes in the given coloring above are $\frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{6} - \frac{n-3}{3} + 3 + 3\frac{(n-3)}{3} = \frac{n(n-3)}{6} + 3 = \varphi_0$. For n = 6, it is obvious that this coloring is a *b*-coloring of K(6, 2) by 6 colors. For $k \ge 2$, we have only three starlike color

classes and this coloring satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1. Hence, the given coloring is a b-coloring of K(n, 2). Therefore, $\varphi \ge \frac{n(n-3)}{6} + 3 = \left| \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} \right| + 3.$

Case 1.2. $n = 6k + 2, k \ge 2$, or n = 6k + 4.

We consider an STS(n - 1) with the Bose or the Skolem construction given in Section 2. Moreover, in this construction we consider three disjoint blocks $\{a, b, c\}$, $\{a', b', c'\}$, and $\{a'', b'', c''\}$ in which $\{a, a', a''\}$ is a block. Now we add a new point d and construct a b-coloring of K(n, 2) by $\varphi_0 = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} + 3$ colors as follows.

We consider every block in STS(n - 1) except four blocks $\{a, b, c\}$, $\{a', b', c'\}$, $\{a'', b'', c''\}$, and $\{a, a', a''\}$ as a color class. Moreover, we add the following color classes. Color class A consists of $\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, a'\}$. Color class B consists of $\{a', b'\}$, $\{a', c'\}$, $\{a', a''\}$. Color class *C* consists of $\{a'', b''\}$, $\{a'', a\}$. Color class *D* consists of $\{d, x\}$, $x \notin \{b, b', b'', c, c', c''\}$. Finally, we add three triangular color classes $\{b, c, d\}$, $\{b', c', d\}$ and $\{b'', c'', d\}$. The number of these color classes is $\varphi_0 = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} - 4 + 4 + 3 = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} + 3$. We have only four starlike color classes and this coloring satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1. Hence, the given coloring

is a *b*-coloring of K(n, 2). Therefore, $\varphi \geq \left\lfloor \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} \right\rfloor + 3$.

Case 2. n is odd.

First we find an upper bound for $\varphi(K(n, 2))$. Let *c* be a *b*-coloring of K(n, 2) by $\varphi = \varphi(K(n, 2))$ colors and *t* starlike color classes with centers 1, ..., t of sizes n_1, \ldots, n_t , respectively. Then,

$$|V(K(n,2))| = \binom{n}{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} n_i + 3(\varphi - t).$$
(4)

By Lemma 1, every 2-subset of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$ is in the color classes 1 to t. Moreover, in the color class i we must have a *b*-dominating vertex, say $\{i, x\}$, where $x \in \{t + 1, t + 2, ..., n\}$. Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} n_i \ge \frac{t(t-1)}{2} + t = \frac{t(t+1)}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\binom{n}{2} \ge 3\varphi + \frac{t(t+1)}{2} - 3t = 3\varphi + \frac{t(t-5)}{2}.$$

So

$$\varphi \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{6} - \frac{t(t-5)}{6}$$

The minimum of the expression t(t-5) occurs in t = 2 and t = 3, so $\varphi \le \frac{n(n-1)}{6} + 1$. Now we prove that $\varphi \le \frac{n(n-1)}{6}$. Suppose $\varphi = \frac{n(n-1)}{6} + 1$, hence, t = 2 or t = 3. For every vertex $i \in V(K_n)$, the number of edges incident to i in the triangles of the decomposition is even. Since n is odd, the number of edges incident to i in the triangles of the decomposition is even. Since n is odd, the number of edges incident to i in the triangles of the decomposition is even. stars of the decomposition is also even. Equivalently, in the *b*-coloring of K(n, 2) the number of vertices containing *i* in the starlike color classes are even numbers.

If t = 3 then by Lemma 1 (ii) and (iii), the vertices {1, 2}, {1, 3} and {2, 3} in K(n, 2) are in the starlike color classes with centers 1, 2, or 3 and for every i, $1 \le i \le 3$, there is a vertex $\{i, x\}$ in the starlike color classes which $x \ne 1, 2, 3$. So by the discussion above, for every *i*, $1 \le i \le 3$, at least two vertices $\{i, x\}$ and $\{i, y\}$, where $x, y \ne 1, 2, 3$, are in the starlike color classes. Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^{3} n_i \ge 3 + 2 \times 3 = 9$. So by Relation (4), $\binom{n}{2} \ge 9 + 3(\varphi - 3) = 3\varphi$. Hence, $\varphi \le \frac{n(n-1)}{6}$, which contradicts our assumption.

Now let t = 2. By Lemma 1 (ii) and (iii), the starlike color class with center 1 contains vertex {1, 2} and at least one more vertex, say $\{1, 3\}$. By the discussion above, if the vertex $\{1, i\}$ in K(n, 2) is in the starlike color class with center 1, then the vertex $\{2, i\}$ is in the starlike color class with center 2. If the vertices $\{1, 2\}$, $\{1, 3\}$ and $\{2, 3\}$ are the only vertices in the starlike color classes, then there is no b-dominating vertex in these classes. Therefore, the starlike color class with center 1 and consequently, the starlike color class with center 2 each one contains at least more two vertices. Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^{2} n_i = 1 + 2 \times 3 = 7$. Therefore, by Relation (4)

$$\binom{n}{2} \ge 7 + 3(\varphi - 2) = 3\varphi + 1.$$

So $\varphi \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{6}$, which contradicts our assumption. Therefore, $\varphi \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n(n-1)}{6} \right\rfloor$. If $n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$ then an *STS*(*n*) exists. Therefore, by Theorem 2, $\varphi \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{6}$. If $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ then by Proposition 3, $\varphi \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{6} - \frac{1}{3}$. Hence, $\varphi = \left\lfloor \frac{n(n-1)}{6} \right\rfloor$. \Box

Since the Petersen graph is Kneser graph K(5, 2), we get the following result.

Corollary 1. If P is the Petersen graph, then $\varphi(P) = 3$.

Kneser graph K(8, 2) is an exception.

Proposition 4. $\varphi(K(8, 2)) = 9$.

Proof. Consider the notations in the proof of Theorem 3 for Case 1. By Inequality (3), we have $\varphi(K(8, 2)) < 10$ and the equality holds if and only if t = 4 or t = 5. Assume that a b-coloring of K(8, 2) exists with 10 colors and A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_t are

starlike color classes with centers 1, 2, ..., t, respectively. If t = 4 then by Equality (2), $\sum_{i=1}^{4} n_i = 10$. By Lemma 1 (ii) and (iii), every 2-subset of the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ is in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} A_i$ and for each $i, 1 \le i \le 4$, there exists $x_i \notin \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, where $\{i, x_i\} \in A_i$. On the other hand n - t and the number of vertices containing i in triangular color classes are even numbers. So there are at least two vertices $\{i, x_i\}$, $\{i, y_i\}$ in the starlike color classes, where $x_i, y_i \notin \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^4 n_i = 10 \ge 6 + 4 \times 2 = 14$, which is contradiction.

4404

If t = 5 then by Equality (2), $\sum_{i=1}^{5} n_i = 13$. On the other hand, similar to the above by Lemma 1 (ii) and (iii), $\sum_{i=1}^{5} n_i = 13 \ge 10 + 5$, a contradiction. So $\varphi(K(8, 2)) \le 9$.

Now we provide a *b*-coloring of K(8, 2) by 9 colors. First we consider an STS(7) and delete one point of it. What remains is a decomposition of K_6 into 4 triangles and a 1-factor called $F = \{\{a_1, b_1\}, \{a_2, b_2\}, \{a_3, b_3\}\}$. Now we add two new points *a* and *b* and define the color classes as all triangles in the decomposition above in addition to the triangular color classes $\{a, a_1, b_1\}$, $\{a, a_2, b_2\}$ and $\{b, a_3, b_3\}$ and the starlike color classes $\{\{a, a_3\}, \{a, b_3\}, \{a, b_3\}\}$ and $\{\{b, a_1\}, \{b, b_1\}, \{b, b_2\}\}$. This is a proper coloring of K(8, 2) satisfying the conditions of Lemma 1, so is a *b*-coloring by 9 colors as desired.

By Relation (1), $\varphi(K(n, k)) \le \Delta + 1 = \binom{n-k}{k} + 1$. Hence $\varphi(K(n, k)) = O(n^k)$. Theorems 2 and 3 motivate us to propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. For every integer k, we have $\varphi(K(n, k)) = \Theta(n^k)$.

4. *b*-continuity of the Kneser graph K(n, 2)

In this section we prove that K(n, 2) is *b*-continuous when $n \ge 17$.

Lemma 2. (a) Let n = 6k + 1 or n = 6k + 3 and (S, \mathcal{B}) be an STS(n). Also let T be a subset of $S = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and t be the number of blocks in \mathcal{B} on the points of T, such that:

(i) $|T| = m \ge 3$,

(ii) for each $i \in T$, there exists $j \in T$ such that the third point of the block containing both i, j is not in T.

Then there exists a b-coloring of K(n, 2) by $\varphi - (\frac{m(m-3)}{2} - 2t)$ colors, where $\varphi = \varphi(K(n, 2))$.

- (b) Let n = 6k + 5 and (S, \mathcal{B}) be a PBD(n) with one block of size 5, say $\{1, 2, n, n 1, n 2\}$ and the others 3-blocks. Also let T be a subset of $S = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and t be the number of 3-blocks in \mathcal{B} on the points of T, such that:
 - (i) $|T| = m \ge 3$,
 - (ii) $1, 2 \in T$ and $n 2, n 1, n \notin T$,

(iii) for each $i \in T$, $i \neq 1, 2$, there exists $j \in T$ such that the third point of the 3-block containing both i, j is not in T. Then there exists a b-coloring of K(n, 2) by $\varphi - (\frac{m(m-3)}{2} - 2t + 1)$ colors, where $\varphi = \varphi(K(n, 2))$.

Proof. Let *c* be the *b*-coloring of K(n, 2) by φ colors corresponding to STS(n) or PBD(n) (see Theorem 2 and Proposition 3). In the case n = 6k + 5, we take the centers of starlike color classes as 1 and 2.

Assume $T = \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, consider the *b*-coloring *c* and delete all triangular color classes containing a vertex $\{i, j\} \subseteq T$.

- (a) Since each vertex $\{i, j\} \subseteq T$ is contained in a triangular color class and there are exactly t triangles on the points of T, the number of deleted color classes (triangles) is $\frac{m(m-1)}{2} 3t + t$. Now we define m new color classes as follows. New color class $i, 3 \le i \le m 2$, contains the set of vertices $\{\{i, j\} \mid i + 1 \le j \le m\}$. Also new color classes 1, 2, m 1 and m contain respectively the sets $\{\{1, j\} \mid 2 \le j \le m 2\}$, $\{\{2, j\} \mid 3 \le j \le m 1\}$, $\{\{m 1, m\}, \{m 1, 1\}\}$ and $\{\{m, 1\}, \{m, 2\}\}$. Moreover, if a vertex $\{i, x\}$, where $i \in T$ and $x \notin T$ is in a deleted color class, then we add this vertex to the color class i. These m new color classes together with the old color classes give us a new proper coloring of K(n, 2) by $\varphi (\frac{m(m-1)}{2} 2t) + m$ colors.
- (b) Since each vertex $\{i, j\} \subseteq T$ except $\{1, 2\}$ is contained in a triangular color class and there are exactly t triangular color classes on the points of T, the number of deleted triangles is $\frac{m(m-1)}{2} 1 3t + t$. Now we define m 2 new color classes as follows. Color class $i, 3 \le i \le m$, contains the set of vertices $\{\{i, j\} \mid i + 1 \le j \le m\} \cup \{\{i, 1\}, \{i, 2\}\}$. Moreover, if a vertex $\{i, x\}$, where $i \in T$ and $x \notin T$ is in a deleted color class, then we add this vertex to the color class i. These m 2 new color classes together with the old color classes give us a new proper coloring by $\varphi (\frac{m(m-1)}{2} 1 2t) + m 2$ colors.

The obtained colorings in (a) and (b) satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1, so they are *b*-colorings.

Lemma 3. Let $n \ge 13$ be an odd integer and let $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{6} \rfloor$. For every odd integer $m, 5 \le m \le k + 5$ and for every integer $t, 0 \le t \le \frac{3m-11}{2}$, where $(m, t) \ne (5, 2), (7, 5), (k + 5, 0)$, there exists an STS(n) or PBD(n) and a set T satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2.

Proof. Let $l = \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$. Depending on *n*, using the Bose construction, the Skolem construction or the 6k + 5 construction given in Section 2 and the quasigroups of Example 1, construct an STS(n) or a PBD(n).

If t = 0, then it is easy to find a set T with parameters (m, t). Assume $5 \le m \le k + 5$ and m is odd.

(a) If $1 \le t \le \frac{m-5}{2}$, then define

 $T = \{l_1, i_1, (l-i)_1 \mid 1 \le i \le t\} \cup \{j_1 \mid t+1 \le j \le m-4-t\} \cup \{(\sigma(l))_2, 1_3, (\sigma^{-1}(k+2)-1)_3\}.$

(b) If $\frac{m-5}{2} < t < m - 5$, then define

$$T = \left\{ l_1, i_1, (l-i)_1 \mid 1 \le i \le \frac{m-5}{2} \right\} \cup \{ (\sigma(l))_2, (\sigma(2(m-5-t)))_2, (\sigma(m-5))_2, (\sigma(2l-m+5))_2 \}$$

(c) If $m - 5 \le t < 3(\frac{m-5}{2})$, then define

$$T = \left\{ l_1, i_1, (l-i)_1 \mid 1 \le i \le \frac{m-5}{2} \right\} \cup \{ (\sigma(l))_2, (\sigma(1))_2, (\sigma(3(m-5)-2t))_2, (\sigma(2l-m+5))_2 \}$$

(d) If $3(\frac{m-5}{2}) \le t \le 2m - 11$, then define

$$T = \left\{ l_1, i_1, (l-i)_1 \mid 1 \le i \le \frac{m-5}{2} \right\} \cup \{ (\sigma(l))_2, (\sigma(1))_2, (\sigma(l-1))_2, (\sigma(4(m-5)-2t))_2 \}$$

The set *T* given above satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2 (with an appropriate renaming of elements of *S*). If $m \ge 11$ then $2m - 11 \ge \frac{3m-11}{2}$, hence, for each $11 \le m \le k + 5$ and $0 \le t \le \frac{3m-11}{2}$, we are done. Moreover, by the construction above there exists such a set *T* for (m, t) = (5, 0), $(m = 7, 0 \le t \le 3)$, $(m = 9, 0 \le t \le 7)$. For (m, t) = (5, 1), let $T = \{1_1, (l-1)_1, (\sigma(l))_2, 1_2, (l-1)_2\}$. For (m, t) = (7, 4), let $T = \{1_1, (l-1)_1, 2_1, (l-2)_1, (\sigma(l))_2, (\sigma(l-1))_2\}$.

Now we construct a set *T* with parameters (m, t) = (9, 8). Since $m \le k+5$, we have $n \ge 25$. Now if $n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, then by Theorem A there is an *STS*(*n*) containing an *STS*(9) on the set $T_0 = \{1, 2, ..., 9\}$. So the set $T = T_0 \cup \{10\} - \{9\}$ is the desired set with parameters (m, t) = (9, 8). If $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, then we consider an idempotent commutative quasigroup containing a sub-quasigroup of order 3 (see Proposition 1). Without loss of generality we can assume that $\{1, 2, 3\}$ is the sub-quasigroup of order 3. Then by applying this quasigroup to the 6k + 5 construction (see Section 2), we construct a *PBD*(*n*) and define $T = \{\infty_1, \infty_2, 3_1, i_1, i_2, i_3 \mid i = 1, 2\}$. The set *T* is the desired set (with an appropriate renaming of elements of *S*).

Lemma 4. Let $n \ge 13$ be an odd integer and $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{6} \rfloor$. For every even integer $m, 4 \le m \le k + 5$ and every integer $t, 0 \le t \le m - 4$, there exists an STS(n) or PBD(n) and a set T satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2. Moreover, when $n \ge 19$ and $n \ne 6k + 5$ such an STS and a set T exist for $(m, t) \in \{(6, 4), (8, 8)\}$,

Proof. Let $l = \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$. Consider the *STS*(*n*) or *PBD*(*n*) as in the proof of Lemma 3.

If t = 0, then it is easy to find a set T with parameters (m, t). Assume $4 \le m \le k + 5$ and m is even.

(a) If $1 \le t \le \frac{m-4}{2}$, then define

$$T = \{l_1, i_1, (l-i)_1 \mid 1 \le i \le t\} \cup \{j_1 \mid t+1 \le j \le m-4-t\} \cup \{(\sigma(l))_2, 1_3, (\sigma^{-1}(k+2)-1)_3\}$$

(b) If $\frac{m-4}{2} < t < m - 4$, then define

$$T = \left\{ l_1, i_1, (l-i)_1 \mid 1 \le i \le \frac{m-4}{2} \right\} \cup \{ (\sigma(l))_2, (\sigma(2(m-4-t)))_2, (\sigma(m-4))_2 \}.$$

(c) If t = m - 4, then define

$$T = \left\{ l_1, i_1, (l-i)_1 \mid 1 \le i \le \frac{m-4}{2} \right\} \{ (\sigma(l))_2, (\sigma(1))_2, (\sigma(m-4))_2 \}.$$

The set *T* given above satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2 (with an appropirate renaming of elements of *S*). Now, assume $n \ge 19$ and $n \ne 6k + 5$, we construct sets *T* with parameters (m, t) = (6, 4), (8, 8). By Theorem A there is an *STS*(*n*) containing the *STS*(7) on points $\{1, 2, ..., 7\}$. Now let $T = \{1, 2, ..., 6\}$, it is clear that *T* is a set satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2 with parameters (m, t) = (6, 4). Also there is an *STS*(*n*) containing the *STS*(9) on points $\{1, 2, ..., 9\}$. Now let $T = \{1, 2, ..., 6\}$, it is clear that *T* is a set satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2 with parameters (m, t) = (6, 4). Also there is an *STS*(*n*) containing the *STS*(9) on points $\{1, 2, ..., 9\}$. Now let $T = \{1, 2, ..., 8\}$, it is clear that *T* is a set satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2 with parameters (m, t) = (8, 8).

Theorem 4. For every integer $n, n \ge 17$, Kneser graph K(n, 2) is b-continuous.

Proof. We prove the theorem for two cases *n* odd and *n* even. Let X(n) be the set of numbers *x* for which there is a *b*-coloring of K(n, 2) by *x* colors.

Case 1. n is odd.

In this case we prove the theorem by induction on *n*. Assume for an odd integer $n, n \ge 19$, that K(n-2, 2) is *b*-continuous. Therefore, by the definition and Theorem 3, for every integer $x, n-4 \le x \le \lfloor \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{6} \rfloor$, we have $x \in X(n-2)$. We consider a *b*-coloring of K(n-2, 2) with *x* colors and provide a *b*-coloring of K(n, 2) by x + 2 colors. For this purpose, we add two new color classes $\{\{n, i\} \mid 1 \le i \le n-1\}, \{\{n-1, i\} \mid 1 \le i \le n-2\}$. This coloring satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1, so it is a *b*-coloring. To prove the *b*-continuity of K(n, 2) it is enough to prove $x \in X(n)$ for every integer *x*, $3 + \lfloor \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{6} \rfloor \le x \le \lfloor \frac{n(n-1)}{6} \rfloor = \varphi$. For this purpose, let $\psi = \lfloor \frac{n(n-1)}{6} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{6} \rfloor - 3$.

Table 1 The values are $\frac{m(m-3)}{2} - 2t + 1$.

t	m				
	3	4	5	6	7
0	1	3	6	10	-
1			4	8	13
2				6	11
3					9
4					7

Claim. For every integer *x*, $1 \le x \le \psi$, we have $\varphi - x \in X(n)$.

Proof of claim. Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all positive integers x such that there exists a set $T \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ which satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2 with parameters (m, t), and $\frac{m(m-3)}{2} - 2t = x$.

Case 1.1. n = 6k + 1 or n = 6k + 3, $k \ge 3$.

By Lemma 2(a), it is enough to show that for every $x, 1 \le x \le \psi, x \in A$. By Lemma 4 there exists a set T with parameters (m, t) = (6, 4), (m, t) = (8, 8). Therefore, $1, 4 \in A$. Moreover, by Lemma 3, for every odd integer $m, 5 \le m \le k+5$, we have $\frac{m(m-3)}{2}, \frac{m(m-3)}{2}-2, \ldots, \frac{m(m-3)}{2}-(3m-11) = \frac{(m-3)(m-6)}{2}+2 \in A$. Also by Lemma 4, for every even integer $m, 4 \le m \le k+5$, we have $\frac{m(m-3)}{2}, \frac{m(m-3)}{2}-2, \ldots, \frac{m(m-3)}{2}-(m-4) = \frac{(m-1)(m-4)}{2}+2 \in A$. Therefore, $1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots, \frac{(k+3)k}{2}+1 \in A$. Since $\frac{(k+3)k}{2}+1 \ge 4k-2 \ge \psi$, we are done.

Case 1.2. n = 6k + 5.

By Lemma 2(b), it is enough to show that for every integer $x, 0 \le x \le \psi - 1, x \in A$. All things in Case 1.1 hold in this case as well, except the set T with parameters (m, t) = (6, 4), (8, 8). So we have $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, \psi - 1\} - \{1, 4\} \subseteq A$. Also there exists a set T with parameters (m, t) = (3, 0) satisfying Lemma 2(b). Thus $0 \in A$.

To complete the proof, we show that $\varphi - 2$ and $\varphi - 5$ are in X(n). Consider the quasigroup of Example 1 and construct a *PBD*(*n*) using the 6k + 5 construction. Let *c* be the *b*-coloring of K(n, 2) corresponding to this *PBD* by φ colors (see Proposition 3) where ∞_1, ∞_2 are the centers of the starlike color classes. Now let $T = \{\infty_1, \infty_2, (2k + 1)_1, 2_1, 1_2\}$, delete all triangular color classes containing a vertex $\{i, j\} \subseteq T$ and define 3 new starlike color classes with centers $(2k+1)_1, 2_1, 1_2$, delete color classes are triangles $\{(2k + 1)_1, 2_1, 1_2\}, \{\infty_1, 2_1, 1_3\}, \{\infty_2, 2_1, 2_2\}, \{\infty_1, 1_2, 1_1\}$ and $\{\infty_2, 1_2, 1_3\}$. Thus new coloring is a *b*-coloring by $\varphi - 5 + 3$ colors. Now let $T = \{\infty_1, \infty_2, 2_1, 2_2, 2_3, (2k+1)_2, (2k+1)_3\}$, delete all triangular color classes containing a vertex $\{i, j\} \subseteq T$ and define 5 new starlike color classes with centers $2_1, 2_2, 2_3, (2k + 1)_2, (2k + 1)_3$. Since we have deleted 10 triangular color classes, we obtain a *b*-coloring of K(n, 2) by $\varphi - 5$ colors. So the claim is proved.

To complete the induction we need to show that K(17, 2) is *b*-continuous. By Lemmas 3 and 4, there is a set *T* satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2 with parameters (m, t) shown in Table 1. The values in the table are $x = \frac{m(m-3)}{2} - 2t + 1$. Therefore, by Lemma 2(b) for the values *x* given in Table 1, $\varphi(K(17, 2)) - x = 45 - x \in X(17)$. Moreover, as it is proved in Cases 1.2, $\varphi(K(17, 2)) - 2$ and $\varphi(K(17, 2)) - 5$ are in X(17). Hence, for every *i*, $34 \le i \le 45$, $i \in X(17)$.

Similarly, by Lemma 2(a) for the values x given in Table 1, $\varphi(K(15, 2)) - x - 1 = 34 - x \in X(15)$. Therefore, for every *i*, $25 \le i \le 35$ and $i \ne 31, 34, i \in X(15)$. By a similar discussion, for every *i*, $16 \le i \le 26$ and $i \ne 22, 25, i \in X(13)$. We have already proved that $x \in X(n - 2)$ implies $x + 2 \in X(n)$. Therefore, for every *i*, $20 \le i \le 37$ and $i \ne 26, 33, i \in X(17)$. By Lemma 3, for n = 13, 15, 17 there is a set $T \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ with parameters (m, t) = (9, 8). Thus, by Lemma 2, $33 \in X(17)$, $24 \in X(15)$ and $15 \in X(13)$, so $26, 19 \in X(17)$. Finally, for n = 13 there is a set T with parameters (m, t) = (7, 1), (9, 7), so $14, 13 \in X(13)$, thus $18, 17 \in X(17)$. We can easily see that $16 \in X(17)$ by constructing a *b*-coloring with 16 starlike color classes. This assures *b*-continuity of K(17, 2).

Case 2. n is even.

Let $n \ge 18$ be an even integer. Then K(n-1, 2) is *b*-continuous and $x \in X(n-1)$ holds whenever $n-3 \le x \le \lfloor \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} \rfloor$. Now we add a new color class $\{\{n, i\} \mid 1 \le i \le n-1\}$ to this coloring. This is a *b*-coloring of K(n, 2) by x + 1 colors. Hence $y \in X(n)$ for every integer y with $n-2 \le y \le \lfloor \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} \rfloor + 1 = \varphi - 2$. It is enough to prove $\varphi - 1 = \lfloor \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6} \rfloor + 2 \in X(n)$. For this purpose, consider the *b*-coloring of K(n, 2) by φ colors in the proof of Theorem 3. Assume that $\{a, x, y\}$ and $\{b, x, z\}$ are two triangular color classes, where a and b are the centers of some starlike color classes, A and B. We delete them and add a new starlike color class $\{\{x, y\}, \{x, z\}, \{x, a\}, \{x, b\}\}$. Finally, we add vertex $\{a, y\}$ to the starlike color class A and the vertex $\{b, z\}$ to the starlike color class B. The obtained coloring satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1 therefore, is a b-coloring of K(n, 2) by $\varphi - 1$ colors.

References

^[1] D. Barth, J. Cohen, T. Faik, On the *b*-continuity property of graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 155 (13) (2007) 1761–1768.

^[2] C.J. Colbourn, A. Rosa, Triple Systems, Oxford Science Publications, 1999.

- [3] T. Faik, About the *b*-continuity of graphs, Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics 17 (2004) 151–156.
 [4] R.W. Irving, D.F. Manlove, The *b*-chromatic number of a graph, Discrete Applied Mathematics 91 (1999) 127–141.
 [5] R. Javadi, B. Omoomi, On *b*-coloring of cartesian product of graphs, Ars Combinatoria (in press).
 [6] M. Kouider, M. Mahéo, Some bounds for the *b*-chromatic number of a graph, Discrete Mathematics 256 (2002) 267–277.

- [7] C.C. Lindner, C.A. Rodger, Design Theory, CRC perss, 1997.
 [8] L. Lovász, Kneser's conjecture, chromatic number, and homotopy, Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series A 25 (1978) 319–324.