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#### Abstract

A $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring of graph $G$ is a set of $\mu$ proper edge colorings of $G$ with a same color set such that for each vertex, the sets of colors appearing on the edges incident to that vertex are the same in each coloring and no edge receives the same color in any two colorings. The $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring of bipartite graphs has a close relation with $\mu$-way Latin trades. Mahdian et al. (2000) conjectured that every bridgeless bipartite graph is 2 -simultaneous edge colorable. Luo et al. (2004) showed that every bipartite graphic sequence $S$ with all its elements greater than one, has a realization that admits a 2 -simultaneous edge coloring. In this paper, the $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring of graphs is studied. Moreover, the properties of the extremal counterexample to the above conjecture are investigated. Also, a relation between 2 -simultaneous edge coloring of a graph and a cycle double cover with certain properties is shown and using this relation, some results about 2 -simultaneous edge colorable graphs are obtained.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, all graphs we consider are finite and simple. For notations and definitions, we refer to [5]. This section deals with a brief review of some concepts related to the main subject of the paper.

Let $S$ be a nonempty proper subset of $V(G)$. The subset $[S, \bar{S}]=\{u v \in E(G)$ : $u \in S, v \in \bar{S}\}$ of $E(G)$ is called an edge cut. A $k$-edge cut is an edge cut $[S, \bar{S}]$, where $|[S, \bar{S}]|=k$. An edge cut $F$, is called trivial if one of the component in $G \backslash F$ is an isolated vertex. The edge connectivity of $G, \kappa^{\prime}(G)$, is the minimum $k$ for which $G$ has a $k$-edge cut and $G$ is said to be $k$-edge-connected if $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq k$. A 2-edge-connected graph is called a bridgeless graph. Take any subgraph of a graph $G$, and contract some of its edges; the resulting graph will be called a minor of $G$.

A proper edge coloring of a graph $G$ is a labeling from $E(G)$ to the color set $[l]=\{1, \ldots, l\}$ such that adjacent edges have different colors. The edge chromatic number of $G, \chi^{\prime}(G)$, is the least $l$ such that $G$ admits a proper edge coloring with color set [l]. A $k$-factor of graph $G$ is a $k$-regular spanning subgraph of $G$, and $G$ is $k$ factorable if there are edge disjoint $k$-factors $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{l}$ such that $G=H_{1} \cup \cdots \cup H_{l}$. Note that an $r$-regular graph $G$ is 1-factorable if and only if $\chi^{\prime}(G)=r$.

We use the term circuit for a connected 2-regular graph and the term cycle (or even graph) for a graph that all its vertices have even degrees. A cycle double cover (CDC), $\mathcal{C}$, of a graph $G$ is a collection of its cycles such that every edge of $G$ is contained in precisely two cycles in $\mathcal{C}$ and a $k$-cycle double cover ( $k$-CDC) of $G$ is a CDC of $G$ such that consisting of at most $k$ cycles of $G$. Note that the cycles are not necessarily distinct. A necessary condition for a graph to have a CDC is the bridgeless property. Seymour [20] in 1979 conjectured that this condition is also sufficient.

Conjecture 1 ([20]). (CDC conjecture) Every bridgeless graph has a CDC.
No counterexample to the CDC conjecture is known. It is proved that the minimal counterexample to the CDC conjecture is a bridgeless cubic graph with edge chromatic number equal to 4 , which is called a snark. The CDC conjecture has many stronger forms, one of which is the following conjecture. An oriented cycle double cover (OCDC) of a graph $G$ is a CDC of $G$ in which every circuit can be oriented in such a way that every edge of the graph is covered by two directed circuits in two different directions.

Conjecture 2 ([13]). (OCDC conjecture) Every bridgeless graph has an OCDC.
The concept of CDC has a relation with nowhere-zero flow in graphs. Some necessary relations of these two concepts are presented in what follows.

Let $G$ be a simple graph and $(D, f)$ be an ordered pair, where $D$ is an orientation of $E(G)$ and $f$ is a weight on $E(G)$ to $\mathbb{Z}$. For each $v \in V(G)$, denote

$$
f^{+}(v)=\sum f(e) \quad \text { and } \quad f^{-}(v)=\sum f(e)
$$

where the summation is taken over all directed edges of $G$ (under the orientation $D$ ) with heads and tails, respectively, at the vertex $v$. An integer flow of $G$ is an ordered pair $(D, f)$ such that for every vertex $v \in V(G), f^{+}(v)=f^{-}(v)$. The support of $f$, $\operatorname{supp}(f)$, is the set of the edge $e \in E(G)$ that $f(e) \neq 0$. A nowhere-zero $k$-flow of $G$ is an integer flow $(D, f)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(f)=E(G)$ and $-k<f(e)<k$, for every $e \in E(G)$ and is denoted by $k$-NZF.

Theorem A ([24]). (i) If every edge of a graph $G$ is contained in a circuit of length at most 4, then $G$ admits a 4-NZF.
(ii) A graph $G$ admits a 4-NZF if and only if $G$ has a 4-CDC.
(iii) A graph $G$ admits a 4-NZF if and only if $G$ has an OCDC consists of four directed cycles.

Let $G$ be a bipartite graph with bipartition $(X, Y)$. The bipartite degree sequence of $G$ is the sequence $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} ; y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$, where $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ are the degrees of vertices in $X$ and $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$ are the degrees of vertices in $Y$. A sequence $S$ of positive integers is called a bipartite graphic sequence if there exists a bipartite graph $G$ whose bipartite degree sequence is $S$; if so then the graph $G$ is called a realization of $S$.

Definition 1 ([16]). A $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring of graph $G$ is a set of $\mu$ proper edge colorings of $G$ with the color set $[l]$, say $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{\mu}\right)$, such that

- for each vertex, the sets of colors appearing on the edges incident to that vertex are the same in each coloring;
- no edge receives the same color in any two colorings.

If $G$ has a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring, then $G$ is called a $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable graph. The minimum $l$ that there exists a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring of $G$ with the color set $[l]$, is called $\mu-$ SE chromatic number of $G$ and denoted by $\chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G)$.

Note that in every $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring of a graph $G, \mu \leq \operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)$, for every $v \in V(G)$, because every edge $e=u v \in E(G)$ admits $\mu$ different colors, which appeared on the edges incident to $v$.

Observation. If $G$ is a $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable graph, then $\mu \leq \delta(G)$, where $\delta(G)$ is the minimum degree of $G$. Moreover,

$$
\Delta(G) \leq \chi^{\prime}(G)=\chi_{1-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G) \leq \chi_{2-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G) \leq \cdots \leq \chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G)
$$

There are some graphs $G$ with $\chi^{\prime}(G)<\chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G)$; for example in the next section we show that for graph $G$ shown in Fig. 1, $\chi_{2-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G) \leq 4$, and by a case study, it can be checked that $G$ has no 2 -simultaneous edge coloring with three colors. Thus, $\chi_{2-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G)=4$ while $\chi^{\prime}(G)=3$. In this case, $\chi_{2-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G)=\Delta(G)+1$. This is a natural question: Is it true that $\Delta(G) \leq \chi_{2-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G) \leq \Delta(G)+1$ ?

At the 16th British Combinatorial Conference (1997), Cameron introduced the concept 2-simultaneous edge coloring. He use this concept to reformulate a conjecture of Keedwell (1994) on the existence of critical partial Latin squares of given type.


Fig. 1. $\chi^{\prime}(G)=3$ and $\chi_{2-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G)=4$.

In fact, he conjectured (called SE conjecture) that for each bipartite graphic sequence $S$ with all its elements greater than one, there exists a 2 -simultaneous edge colorable realization.

Mahdian et al. in [16] showed that the 2-simultaneous edge coloring of every bipartite graph is equivalent to an OCDC of that graph. Also, they conjectured that every bridgeless bipartite graph is 2 -simultaneous edge colorable.

Theorem B ([16]). Every bipartite graph $G$ is 2 -simultaneous edge colorable if and only if $G$ has an OCDC.

Conjecture 3 ([16]). (Strong SE conjecture) Every bridgeless bipartite graph is 2-simultaneous edge colorable.

Luo et al. in [15] showed that every bipartite graphic sequence $S$ with all its elements greater than one, has a realization that admits a 4 -NZF. Thus, by Theorems $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{iii})$ and B , they proved that the SE conjecture is true.

In Sec. 2, we see the relation between $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring and $\mu$-way Latin trade, also, we give some sufficient conditions for graphs to be $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable. In Sec. 3, we consider the case $\mu=2$. First, some properties for the extremal counterexample to the strong SE conjecture are given; then, we discuss on 2-simultaneous edge coloring for general graphs and introduce some 2-simultaneous edge colorable graphs and some graphs which have no 2-simultaneous edge coloring.

## 2. $\mu$-Simultaneous Edge Coloring and $\mu$-Way Latin Trade

A partial Latin square $P$ of order $n$ is an $n \times n$ array of elements from the set $[n]=\{1, \ldots, n\}$, where each element of $[n]$ appears at most once in each row and at most once in each column. We can represent each partial Latin square, $P$, as a subset of $[n] \times[n] \times[n]$,

$$
P=\{(i, j ; k): \text { element } k \text { is located in position }(i, j)\} .
$$

The partial Latin square $P$ is called symmetric if $(i, j ; k) \in P$ if and only if $(j, i ; k) \in$ $P$. The set $S_{P}=\{(i, j):(i, j ; k) \in P, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ of the partial Latin square $P$ is called the shape of $P$ and $\left|S_{P}\right|$ is called the volume of $P$. By $\mathcal{R}_{P}^{i}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{P}^{j}$, we mean the set of entries in row $i$ and column $j$, respectively of $P$.

A $\mu$-way Latin trade, $\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{\mu}\right)$, of volume $s$ and order $n$ is a collection of $\mu$ partial Latin squares $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{\mu}$ of order $n$, containing exactly the same $s$ filled cells, such that if cell $(i, j)$ is filled, it contains a different entry in each of the $\mu$ partial Latin squares, and row $i$ in each of the $\mu$ partial Latin squares contains, set-wise, the same symbols and column $j$, likewise. If $\mu=2,\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ is called a Latin bitrade. The volume spectrum $\mathcal{S}_{\mu}$ for all $\mu$-way Latin trades is the set of possible volumes of $\mu$-way Latin trades. For a survey on this topic, see [3, 6, 14].

For every $\mu$-way Latin trade $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{\mu}\right)$ of volume $s$, there exists a $\mu$ simultaneous edge colorable bipartite graph $G$ with $s$ edges and bipartite degree sequence $S=\left(\left|\mathcal{R}_{T}^{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{R}_{T}^{n}\right| ;\left|\mathcal{C}_{T}^{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{C}_{T}^{m}\right|\right)$. In fact, $G=(X, Y)$ is a bipartite
graph, where $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ and $Y=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right\}$ such that for every filled cell $(i, j)$ in $T$, there is an edge between $x_{i}$ and $y_{j}$ and the element that located in position $(i, j)$ of $T_{k}$ is the color of edge $x_{i} y_{j}$ in the $k$ th coloring of $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring of $G$, for $1 \leq k \leq \mu$.

In general, for every symmetric $\mu$-way Latin trade $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{\mu}\right)$ of volume $s$ that $(i, i) \notin S_{T}$, for every $i$, there exists a $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable graph $G$ with $\frac{1}{2} s$ edges and degree sequence $S=\left(\left|\mathcal{R}_{T}^{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{R}_{T}^{n}\right|\right)$. In fact, $G$ is a graph, where $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ such that for every filled cell $(i, j)$ in $T$, there is an edge between $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ and the element that located in position $(i, j)$ of $T_{k}$ is the color of edge $v_{i} v_{j}$ in the $k$ th coloring of $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring of $G$, for $1 \leq k \leq \mu$.

In Fig. 2, a Latin bitrade, $T=\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$, of volume 10 is demonstrated. ( $\bullet$ means the cell is empty.) In fact, $T$ is the Latin bitrade corresponding to a 2 -simultaneous edge coloring of the graph $G$ that showed in Fig. 1. Therefore, $\chi_{2-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G) \leq 4$.

Since Luo et al. in [15] showed that each bipartite graphic sequence $S$ with all its elements greater than 1, has a 2-simultaneous edge colorable bipartite realization, we have

$$
\mathcal{S}_{2}=\mathbb{N} \backslash\{1,2,3,5\} .
$$

Theorem C ([1, 2]). The volume spectrums for all $\mu$-way Latin trades, $\mu=3,4,5$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}_{3}=\mathbb{N} \backslash([1,8] \cup\{10,11,13,14\}) \\
& \mathcal{S}_{4}=\mathbb{N} \backslash([1,15] \cup\{17,18,19,21,22,26\}) \\
& \mathcal{S}_{5}=\mathbb{N} \backslash([1,24] \cup[26,29] \cup\{31,32,33,37,38\}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $S=(3,3,3,4 ; 3,3,3,4)$ be a bipartite graphic sequence. By Theorem C, there is no 3 -way Latin trade of volume $3+3+3+4=13$. Thus, the bipartite graph $G=(X, Y)$ with $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ and $Y=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right\}$ and $E(G)=$ $\left\{x_{i} y_{j}: 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 4\right\} \cup\left\{x_{4} y_{4}\right\}$ is not 3 -simultaneous edge colorable. Note that $G$ is a 3 -edge-connected bipartite graph. Therefore, the generalization of the strong SE conjecture and SE conjecture are not true.

One can ask the following two natured questions related to this concept.

| 1 | 2 | $\bullet$ | $\bullet$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4 | 3 | $\bullet$ |
| $\bullet$ | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| 3 | $\bullet$ | $\bullet$ | 1 |


| 2 | 1 | $\bullet$ | $\bullet$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 2 | 4 | $\bullet$ |
| $\bullet$ | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| 1 | $\bullet$ | $\bullet$ | 3 |

Fig. 2. $T=\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ a Latin bitrade of volume 10.

Question 1. Is there a positive integer $s_{\mu}$ such that every $\mu$-edge-connected bipartite graph with at least $s_{\mu}$ edges admits a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring?

Question 2. Is there a positive integer $s_{\mu}$ such that each bipartite graphic sequence $S=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$ with all its elements greater than $\mu-1$ and $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_{i} \geq$ $s_{\mu}$, there exists a $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable bipartite realization?

In [7], Edmonds showed that every graphic degree sequence, with all degrees at least $\mu \geq 2$, has a $\mu$-edge-connected realization. In [10], Hajiaghaee et al. proved that every bipartite graphic sequence, with all degrees at least $2 \mu(\mu \geq 1)$, has a $2 \mu$-edge-connected realization. In the following theorem, we prove a generalization of these theorems; every bipartite graphic sequence, with all elements greater than $\mu-1$, has a $\mu$-edge-connected bipartite realization. Therefore, if the response of Question 1 is positive, then the response of Question 2 is also positive. For this purpose, we need the following theorem.

Theorem D ([16]). For every bipartite graphic sequence $S$ with all its elements greater than one, there exists a 2-edge-connected realization.

Theorem 1. Every bipartite graphic sequence $S$ with all its elements greater than $\mu-1, \mu \geq 3$, has a $\mu$-edge-connected realization.

Proof. Let $r$ be the maximum edge connectivity among all realizations of the bipartite graphic sequence $S$ and $r \leq \mu-1$. By Theorem $\mathrm{D}, r \geq 2$. Also, let $G=(X, Y)$ be a bipartite realization of $S$ with the edge connectivity $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=r$, and $G$ has the minimum number of $r$-edge cuts. Assume that $F=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{r}\right\}$ is an $r$-edge cut of $G$. Therefore, $G \backslash F$ has exactly two components $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$.

First, we show that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are bridgeless. Otherwise, without loss of generality, assume that $e=u v \in E\left(G_{1}\right)$ is a cut edge of $G_{1}$ and $G_{11}$ and $G_{12}$ are components of $G_{1} \backslash\{e\}$.

If $r=2$ and $S_{1}$ is the bipartite degree sequence of $G_{1}$, then by Theorem D , there is a bridgeless bipartite graph $G_{1}^{\prime}$ with the degree sequence $S_{1}$. Thus, $G^{\prime}=$ $\left(G \backslash E\left(G_{1}\right)\right) \cup E\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is a realization of $S$ with the same edge connectivity as $G$ and the number of its $r$-edge cuts is less than the number of $r$-edge cuts of $G$, which is a contradiction.

If $r \geq 3$ and $F_{i}$ is the edges between $G_{1 i}$ and $G_{2}, i=1,2$, then $F=F_{1} \cup F_{2}$ and for some $i$, say $i=2,\left|F_{2}\right| \geq 2$. Therefore, $F^{\prime}=F_{1} \cup\{e\}$ is an edge cut of size at most $r-1$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are bridgeless. Hence, in the bridgeless components $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, every edge lies in a circuit.

Since $\delta(G) \geq \mu$, for every $v_{i} \in V\left(G_{i}\right), i=1,2$, there exists a vertex $v_{i}^{\prime} \in V\left(G_{i}\right) \cap$ $N_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)$ such that $N_{G}\left(v_{i}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq V\left(G_{i}\right)$; so, there exists a vertex $v_{i}^{\prime \prime} \in V\left(G_{i}\right) \cap N_{G}\left(v_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $N_{G}\left(v_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) \subseteq V\left(G_{i}\right)$.

Let $v_{i} \in V\left(G_{i}\right) \cap X$ and $C_{i}$ be a circuit in $G_{i}$ such that $e_{i}=v_{i}^{\prime} v_{i}^{\prime \prime} \in E\left(C_{i}\right)$, $i=1,2$. Now by switching two edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ with two edges $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and $v_{2}^{\prime} v_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, we obtain a bipartite graph $G^{\prime}$ with the same degree sequence as $G$ in which $F$ is not
an $r$-edge cut anymore, and no new $r$-edge cut is appeared. This contradicts the minimality of the number of $r$-edge cuts in $G$. Therefore, $r \geq \mu$ and this completes the proof.

Mahdian et al. showed that there exists an infinite family of $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable graphs. In the rest of this section, we consider $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorings of complete graphs, complete bipartite graphs and some graph operations such as join and graph product.

Theorem E ([16]). Every r-regular 1-factorable graph is $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable for every $\mu \leq r$.

For example, every complete graph $K_{2 l}, l \geq 2$, is $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable for every $\mu \leq 2 l-1$; every complete bipartite graph $K_{n, n}, n \geq 2$, is $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable for every $\mu \leq n$; every complete multipartite graph $K_{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}}$, when $r_{1}=\cdots=r_{n}=r, n \geq 2$, and $r n$ is even, is $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable for every $\mu \leq(n-1) r$; and every hypercube graph $Q_{n}, n \geq 1$, is $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable for every $\mu \leq n$.

An $m \times n \mu$-way Latin trade is a $\mu$-way Latin trade of order $n,\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{\mu}\right)$, containing exactly the same $n-m$ empty rows, for $n \geq m \geq \mu$.

Theorem F ([1]). If $\mu \leq m \leq n$, then there exists an $m \times n \mu$-way Latin trade of volume mn.

Corollary 1. Every $K_{m, n}$ admits a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring, for $\mu \leq m \leq n$. Moreover, $\chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}\left(K_{m, n}\right)=n$.

The join of two simple graphs $G$ and $H, G \vee H$, is the graph obtained from the disjoint union of $G$ and $H$ by adding the edges $\{u v: u \in V(G), v \in V(H)\}$.

Theorem 2. Let $G_{i}$ be a $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable graph of order $n_{i} \geq 2$. The join graph $G_{1} \vee G_{2}$ has a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring.

Proof. Since $G_{i}$ 's has a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring, for $\mu \leq \min \left\{n_{1}, n_{2}\right\}$, by Corollary 1, $K_{n_{1}, n_{2}}$ has a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring. Now we define a $\mu$ simultaneous edge coloring of $G_{1} \vee G_{2}$ by a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring of the copy $G_{i}$ in $G_{1} \vee G_{2}$ with the color set $\left\{1, \ldots, \chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}\left(G_{i}\right)\right\}, i=1,2$, and a $\mu$ simultaneous edge coloring of the copy $K_{n_{1}, n_{2}}$ in $G_{1} \vee G_{2}$ with the color set $\left\{r+1, \ldots, r+\Delta\left(K_{n_{1}, n_{2}}\right)\right\}$, where $r=\max \left\{\chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right), \chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}\left(G_{2}\right)\right\}$.

Proposition 1. The complete graphs $K_{7}$ and $K_{9}$ admit a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring, for $\mu=2,3$.

Proof. Let $V\left(K_{7}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{7}\right\}$ be the vertex set of $K_{7}$. The following colorings, $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right)$, is a 3 -simultaneous edge coloring of $K_{7}$, where $c_{\mu}$, is a proper edge
coloring of $K_{7}$ with color set $\{1,2, \ldots, 7\}$, and $v_{i} v_{j}: l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}$ means $c_{\mu}\left(v_{i} v_{j}\right)=l_{\mu}$, $\mu=1,2,3$.


```
v1}\mp@subsup{v}{6}{\prime}:7,6,3; v, v, v7:1,5,2
```





```
v5}\mp@subsup{v}{6}{}:3,7,4; v5\mp@subsup{v}{7}{\prime}:5,3,6
v6}\mp@subsup{v}{7}{}:6,2,5
```

Let $V\left(K_{9}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{9}\right\}$ be the vertex set of $K_{9}$. The following colorings, $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right)$, are a 3 -simultaneous edge coloring of $K_{9}$, where $c_{\mu}$, is a proper edge coloring of $K_{9}$ with color set $\{1,2, \ldots, 9\}$, and $v_{i} v_{j}: l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}$ means $c_{\mu}\left(v_{i} v_{j}\right)=l_{\mu}$, $\mu=1,2,3$.


```
v}\mp@subsup{v}{7}{}:7,8,5; v. v. v8:8,5,1; v. v. v9:5,6,7;
```



```
v}\mp@subsup{v}{7}{}\mp@subsup{v}{7}{\prime}8,4,2;\quad\mp@subsup{v}{2}{}\mp@subsup{v}{8}{\prime}:4,6,5; v. v2v9:7,2,3
v3}\mp@subsup{v}{4}{}:6,7,9;\quad\mp@subsup{v}{3}{}\mp@subsup{v}{5}{\prime}:7,8,5;\quad\mp@subsup{v}{3}{}\mp@subsup{v}{6}{}:8,6,1;\quad\mp@subsup{v}{3}{}\mp@subsup{v}{7}{}:4,1,7
v3}\mp@subsup{v}{8}{}:5,3,8; v; v. v9:3,9,4
```




```
v6}\mp@subsup{v}{7}{}:2,9,3; v. v. v8:1,4,2; v. v* v9:4,3,6
v}\mp@subsup{v}{7}{}\mp@subsup{v}{8}{\prime}:3,2,4; v; v* v:9,7,1
v
```

Theorem 3. Every complete graph $K_{n}$, except for $n=2,3,5$ admits a $\mu$ simultaneous edge coloring, for $\mu=2,3$.

Proof. It is easy to check that $K_{2}$ and $K_{3}$ are not 2-simultaneous edge colorable. By Proposition 2 in Sec. 3, we will show that $K_{5}$, has no 2-simultaneous edge coloring. By Theorem E, $K_{2 l}, l \geq 2$ admits a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring, $\mu=2$, 3. Since $K_{n}=K_{n-4} \vee K_{4}$, by induction on $n$ and by Proposition 1 and Theorem 2, for every $n \geq 10, K_{n}$ admits a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring, $\mu=2,3$.

The Cartesian product of two graphs $G$ and $H$, denoted by $G \square H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ and two vertices $(u, v)$ and $\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$ are adjacent if and only if either $u=u^{\prime}$ and $v v^{\prime} \in E(H)$ or $u u^{\prime} \in E(G)$ and $v=v^{\prime}$.

In the following theorems, we present some sufficient conditions for $\mu$ simultaneous edge colorable of $G \square H$ in general.

Theorem 4. Let $G$ and $H$ be r-regular and s-regular graphs, respectively. If $H$ is 1-factorable, then $G \square H$ is $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable for every $\mu \leq r+s$.

Proof. Suppose that $G$ and $H$ are $r$-regular and $s$-regular graphs, respectively. Therefore, $G \square H$ is an $(r+s)$-regular graph. Since $H$ is 1-factorable, we have $\chi^{\prime}(H)=$ $\Delta(H)$ and by a theorem in [17], $\chi^{\prime}(G \square H)=\Delta(G \square H)=r+s$. Thus by Theorem E, $G \square H$ is $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable for every $\mu \leq r+s$.

Corollary 2. (i) For every positive integers $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 3, C_{2 n} \square C_{m}$ is $\mu$ simultaneous edge colorable for every $\mu \leq 4$.
(ii) Let $G$ be $r$-regular. Then, $G \square K_{2 n}, n \geq 1$, is $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable for every $\mu \leq r+2 n-1$.

Theorem 5. Let $G$ and $H$ be two $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable graphs. The Cartesian product $G \square H$ is also $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable. In particular, $\chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G \square H) \leq \chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G)+\chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(H)$.

Proof. Suppose that $G$ and $H$ be two $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable graphs. It is sufficient to consider for each copy of $G$ in $G \square H$ a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring with color set $\left\{1, \ldots, \chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G)\right\}$ and for each copy of $H$ in $G \square H$ a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring with color set $\left\{\chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G)+1, \chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G)+2, \ldots, \chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G)+\chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(H)\right\}$. Obviously, these colorings form a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring of $G \square H$.

The lexicographic product of two simple graphs $G$ and $H$ is the simple graph $G[H]$ whose vertex set is $V(G) \times V(H)$, and two vertices $(u, v)$ and $\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$ are adjacent if and only if $u u^{\prime} \in E(G)$, or $u=u^{\prime}$ and $v v^{\prime} \in E(H)$.

Theorem 6. If $H$ is $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable, then for every simple graph $G$, $G[H]$ is also $\mu$-simultaneous edge colorable.

Proof. Let $G$ and $H$ be two simple graphs, $V(G)=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$, and $V(H)=$ $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. The graph $G[H]$ consists of copies $H^{1}, \ldots, H^{m}$ of $H$, in which the edge between $H^{i}$ and $H^{j}$ are isomorph to a copy of $K_{n, n}$, whenever $u_{i} u_{j} \in E(G)$. Let $J_{i j}$ denote the copy of $K_{n, n}$ corresponds to the edges between $H^{i}$ and $H^{j}$ and $c_{G}: E(G) \rightarrow\left\{1, \ldots, \chi^{\prime}(G)\right\}$ be a proper edge coloring of $G$. Now for every $H^{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, define a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring the same as $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring of $H$ by color set $\left\{1, \ldots, \chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(H)\right\}$. Since by Corollary 1 , every $K_{n, n}$ has a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring, for every $J_{i j}$ define a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring by color set $\left\{\chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(H)+\left(c_{G}\left(u_{i} u_{j}\right)-1\right) n+1, \chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(H)+\left(c_{G}\left(u_{i} u_{j}\right)-1\right) n+\right.$ $\left.2, \ldots, \chi_{\mu-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(H)+\left(c_{G}\left(u_{i} u_{j}\right)-1\right) n+n\right\}$. It is easy to check that these colorings form a $\mu$-simultaneous edge coloring of $G[H]$.

## 3. 2-Simultaneous Edge Coloring

In this section, we are concerned on the 2-simultaneous edge coloring. First, we study the properties of the extremal counterexample to the strong SE conjecture. Then, we consider the 2-simultaneous edge coloring for graphs in general.

If the strong SE conjecture is false, then it must have a minimal counterexample. We consider the family of counterexamples to the strong SE conjecture with maximum number of vertices among ones with minimum number of edges.

Theorem 7. Let $G$ be a bridgeless bipartite graph that is not 2-simultaneous edge colorable with maximum number of vertices among ones with minimum number of edges, then
(i) $G$ is 2-connected;
(ii) $\delta(G)=2$ and $\Delta(G)=3$;
(iii) $G$ has no nontrivial edge cut of size 2 ;
(iv) for each $v \in V(G)$, which $\operatorname{deg}(v)=2, G-v$ is bridgeless;
(v) for each $v \in V(G)$, if $N(v)=\{u, w\}$, then $N(u) \cap N(w)=\{v\}$.

Proof. Let $V(G)=X \cup Y$. By Theorem B, $G$ is a bridgeless bipartite graph with no OCDC while every bridgeless bipartite graph $G^{\prime}$ with $\left|E\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|<|E(G)|$ or $\left|E\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=|E(G)|$ and $\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|>|V(G)|$ has an OCDC.
(i) Let $v \in V(G)$ be a cut vertex of $G$. By the minimality of $G$, every block $B$ of $G$ has an OCDC, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$. Therefore,

$$
\mathcal{C}=\bigcup_{B \text { is a block of } G} \mathcal{C}_{B}
$$

is an OCDC of $G$, which is a contradiction.
(ii) Let $v \in V(G)$ be a vertex of degree greater than 3. By Fleischner's vertexsplitting lemma [8], there exist two edges $e_{1}=u v$ and $e_{2}=w v \in E(G)$ such that $G \cup\{u w\} \backslash\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ is bridgeless. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the new graph obtained by subdividing the edge $u w$ in vertex $v^{\prime}$. Thus, $G^{\prime}$ is bridgeless bipartite graph such that $\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=$ $|V(G)|+1$ and $\left|E\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=|E(G)|$. Therefore, $G^{\prime}$ has an OCDC, $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. Let $C_{1}^{\prime}$ and $C_{2}^{\prime}$ be two directed circuits in $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ that include the directed paths $u v^{\prime} w$ and $w v^{\prime} u$, respectively. Define $C_{1}=C_{1}^{\prime} \cup\{u v, v w\} \backslash\left\{u v^{\prime}, v^{\prime} w\right\}$ and $C_{2}=C_{2}^{\prime} \cup\{w v, v u\} \backslash\left\{w v^{\prime}, v^{\prime} u\right\}$. Then,

$$
\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \cup\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}\right\} \backslash\left\{C_{1}^{\prime}, C_{2}^{\prime}\right\},
$$

is an OCDC of $G$, which is a contradiction.
If $\delta(G) \neq 2$, since $G$ is a bridgeless graph and $\Delta(G) \leq 3, G$ is 3-regular. Therefore, $G$ is 1 -factorable. Thus by Theorem $\mathrm{E}, G$ is 2 -simultaneous colorable, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\delta(G)=2$ and by the same reason $\Delta(G)=3$.
(iii) Let $F=\left\{e_{1}=a b, e_{2}=c d\right\}$ be a disjoint vertex edge cut of $G$ and $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two nontrivial components of $G \backslash F$ such that $a, c \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$. Note that the case
$a=c$ or $b=d$ does not occur because if so then we get a bridge in $G$. We consider two following cases.

- $a, d \in X$ and $b, c \in Y$. If $|\{a c, b d\} \cap E(G)|=0$, then define $G_{1}^{\prime}=G_{1} \cup\{a c\}$ and $G_{2}^{\prime}=G_{2} \cup\{b d\}$. By the edge minimality of $G, G_{1}^{\prime}$ and $G_{2}^{\prime}$ have OCDCs, $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$, respectively. Let $C_{1}^{1}$ and $C_{1}^{2}$ be two directed circuits in $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ that include the directed edge $a c$ and $c a$, respectively. Assume that $C_{2}^{1}$ and $C_{2}^{2}$ be two directed circuits in $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ that include the directed edges $d b$ and $b d$, respectively. Define $C_{1}=$ $C_{1}^{1} \cup C_{2}^{1} \cup\{a b, d c\} \backslash\{a c, d b\}$ and $C_{2}=C_{1}^{2} \cup C_{2}^{2} \cup\{b a, c d\} \backslash\{c a, b d\}$, where $u v$ means a directed edge from $u$ to $v$. Thus,

$$
\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}_{1} \cup \mathcal{C}_{2} \cup\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}\right\} \backslash\left\{C_{1}^{1}, C_{1}^{2}, C_{2}^{1}, C_{2}^{2}\right\}
$$

is an OCDC of $G$, which is a contradiction.
If $|\{a c, b d\} \cap E(G)|=1$, then without loss of generality, assume that $a c \in E(G)$ and define $G_{2}^{\prime}=G_{2} \cup\{b d\}$. By the edge minimality of $G, G_{1}$ and $G_{2}^{\prime}$ have OCDCs, $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$, respectively. Let $C_{1}^{1}$ be the directed circuit in $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ that include the directed edge ac. Assume that $C_{2}^{1}$ and $C_{2}^{2}$ be two directed circuits in $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ that include the directed edges $d b$ and $b d$, respectively. Define $C_{1}=C_{1}^{1} \cup C_{2}^{1} \cup\{a b, d c\} \backslash\{a c, d b\}$ and $C_{2}=C_{2}^{2} \cup\{b a, a c, c d\} \backslash\{b d\}$, where $u v$ means a directed edge from $u$ to $v$. Thus,

$$
\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}_{1} \cup \mathcal{C}_{2} \cup\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}\right\} \backslash\left\{C_{1}^{1}, C_{2}^{1}, C_{2}^{2}\right\},
$$

is an OCDC of $G$, which is a contradiction.
If $|\{a c, b d\} \cap E(G)|=2$, then by the edge minimality of $G, G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ have OCDCs, $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$, respectively. Let $C_{1}^{1}$ be the directed circuit in $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ that include the directed edge $a c$. Assume that $C_{2}^{1}$ be the directed circuit in $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ that include the directed edge $d b$. Define $C_{1}=C_{1}^{1} \cup C_{2}^{1} \cup\{a b, d c\} \backslash\{a c, d b\}$ and $C_{2}=\{a c, c d, d b, b a\}$, where $u v$ means a directed edge from $u$ to $v$. Thus,

$$
\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}_{1} \cup \mathcal{C}_{2} \cup\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}\right\} \backslash\left\{C_{1}^{1}, C_{2}^{1}\right\}
$$

is an OCDC of $G$, which is a contradiction.

- $a, c \in X$ and $b, d \in Y$. Let $G_{1}^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G_{1}$ by joining a new vertex $v_{1}$ to $a$ and $c$, and $G_{2}^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G_{2}$ by joining a new vertex $v_{2}$ to $b$ and $d$. By the edge minimality of $G$, bipartite graphs $G_{1}^{\prime}$ and $G_{2}^{\prime}$ have OCDCs, $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$, respectively. Let $C_{1}^{1}$ and $C_{1}^{2}$ be two directed circuits in $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ that include the directed paths $a v_{1} c$ and $c v_{1} a$, respectively. Assume that $C_{2}^{1}$ and $C_{2}^{2}$ be two directed circuits in $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ that include the directed paths $d v_{2} b$ and $b v_{2} d$, respectively. Define $C_{1}=C_{1}^{1} \cup C_{2}^{1} \cup\{a b, d c\} \backslash\left\{a v_{1}, v_{1} c, d v_{2}, v_{2} b\right\}$ and $C_{2}=$ $C_{1}^{2} \cup C_{2}^{2} \cup\{b a, c d\} \backslash\left\{v_{1} a, c v_{1}, v_{2} d, b v_{2}\right\}$, where $u v$ means a directed edge from $u$ to $v$. Thus,

$$
\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}_{1} \cup \mathcal{C}_{2} \cup\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}\right\} \backslash\left\{C_{1}^{1}, C_{1}^{2}, C_{2}^{1}, C_{2}^{2}\right\},
$$

is an OCDC of $G$, which is a contradiction.
(iv) If $\operatorname{deg}(v)=2$, then every bridge in $G-v$ with one of the edges incident on $v$ forms a nontrivial edge cut of size 2 , which is a contradiction.
(v) Suppose that $N(v)=\{u, w\}$ and $v^{\prime} \in(N(u) \cap N(w)) \backslash\{v\}$. By (iv) and the minimality of $G, G-v$ has an OCDC, $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. Since $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(v^{\prime}\right) \leq 3$, without loss of generality, there exists a directed circuit $C \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ that include the directed edges $u v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime} w$. Let $C_{1}=C \cup\{u v, v w\} \backslash\left\{v^{\prime}\right\}$ and $C_{2}=v u v^{\prime} w v$. Then,

$$
\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \cup\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}\right\} \backslash\{C\},
$$

is an OCDC of $G$, which is a contradiction.
In the rest of this section, we consider the 2 -simultaneous edge coloring for graphs in general. For example, the following two colorings is a 2 -simultaneous edge coloring for wheel $W_{n}, n \geq 3$. Assume that $V\left(W_{n}\right)=\left\{u, v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}$ and $E\left(W_{n}\right)=\left\{u v_{i}, v_{i} v_{i+1}(\bmod n): 0 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$. Define two edge coloring $f_{j}: E\left(W_{n}\right) \rightarrow\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}, j=1,2, f_{1}\left(u v_{i}\right)=i, f_{1}\left(v_{i} v_{i+1}\right)=i+2$, and $f_{2}\left(u v_{i}\right)=i+2, f_{2}\left(v_{i} v_{i+1}\right)=i+1$, where the colors and subscripts are reduced modulo $n$. It is easy to check that $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ forms a 2 -simultaneous edge coloring of $W_{n}$.

Theorem 8. Let $G$ be a 2-simultaneous edge colorable graph. If $G^{\prime}$ is a graph obtained from $G$ by replacing an edge $x y \in E(G)$ with simple path $x v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{2 k} y$ such that $v_{i} \notin V(G), 1 \leq i \leq 2 k$, then $G^{\prime}$ is also 2 -simultaneous edge colorable.

Proof. Let $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ be a 2 -simultaneous edge coloring of $G$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $f_{j}(x y)=j, j=1,2$. Define two proper edge colorings $f_{1}^{\prime}$ and $f_{2}^{\prime}$ of $G^{\prime}$ as follows. $f_{j}^{\prime}\left(x v_{1}\right)=f_{j}^{\prime}\left(v_{2 i} v_{2 i+1}\right)=f_{j}^{\prime}\left(v_{2 k} y\right)=j, f_{j}^{\prime}\left(v_{2 i-1} v_{2 i}\right)=3-j(\bmod 2)$, and $f_{j}^{\prime}(e)=f_{j}(e)$ for $e \in E(G) \backslash\{x y\}, 1 \leq i \leq k-1$, and $j=1,2$. Therefore, $\left(f_{1}^{\prime}, f_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is a 2 -simultaneous edge coloring of $G^{\prime}$.

Theorem 9. Let $G$ be a bridgeless graph with girth at least $2 k-1, k \geq 2$. If $G$ is 2 -simultaneous edge colorable, then $|E(G)| \geq k \chi^{\prime}(G)$.

Proof. Let $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ be a 2-simultaneous edge coloring of $G$ and $f_{i}^{j}=\{e \in E(G)$ : $\left.f_{i}(e)=j\right\}, i=1,2$. Since $\chi^{\prime}(G) \leq \chi_{2-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G)$, if $|E(G)|<k \chi^{\prime}(G)$, then for some $j, 1 \leq j \leq \chi_{2-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G),\left|f_{i}^{j}\right| \leq k-1$ for $i=1,2$. Therefore, the induced subgraph by $f_{1}^{j} \cup f_{2}^{j}$ is a union of even circuits of length at most $2 k-2$, which is a contradiction.

In the following, we provide a relation between 2-simultaneous edge colorings of a graph with a CDC with certain properties. Then, using this relation, we show some 2 -simultaneous edge colorable graph and also some graph which has no 2 simultaneous edge coloring.

Theorem 10. A bridgeless graph $G$ is a 2-simultaneous edge colorable if and only if $G$ has a CDC, $\mathcal{C}$, that satisfies the following properties.
(i) Every circuit of $\mathcal{C}$ is an even circuit.
(ii) $\mathcal{C}$ has a partition to at least $\chi^{\prime}(G)$ classes, such that every class is 2-regular.
(iii) Every circuit in $\mathcal{C}$ has a proper 2-edge coloring, such that each edge $e \in E(G)$ in different circuits admits two different colors.

Proof. Suppose that $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ is a 2 -simultaneous edge coloring of graph $G$. Let $f_{i}^{j}=\left\{e \in E(G): f_{i}(e)=j\right\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \chi_{2-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G)$ and $i=1,2$. The induced subgraph by $C_{j}=f_{1}^{j} \cup f_{2}^{j}$ is a disjoint union of even circuits, for $1 \leq j \leq \chi_{2-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{C}=\left\{C_{j}: 1 \leq j \leq \chi_{2-\mathrm{SE}}^{\prime}(G)\right\}$ is a CDC of $G$ with properties (i) and (ii). Now for every edge $e$ in a circuit of $C_{j}$, let $c_{j}(e)=i$, where $f_{i}(e)=j, i=1,2$, one can see that $c_{j}$ satisfies the property (iii).

Conversely, let $\mathcal{C}$ be a CDC, where $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{t}$ is a partition of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $C_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq t$, is 2-regular and $c_{i}$ is a proper edge coloring of $C_{i}$ satisfies condition (iii). Now we define two edge colorings $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ as follows. For every edge $e$, if $e \in C_{j}$ and $c_{j}(e)=i$, then set $f_{i}(e)=j$. By the assumption, it is clear that $f_{i}, i=1,2$, is a proper edge coloring and $f_{1}(e) \neq f_{2}(e)$ for every $e \in E(G)$. It is enough to show that the set of colors appear on the edges incident to each vertex are the same. Let $v$ be an arbitrary vertex of $G$ and $u \in V(G)$ be an arbitrary neighbor of $v$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $f_{1}(u v)=j, 1 \leq j \leq t, u v \in C_{j}$ and $c_{j}(u v)=1$. Since $C_{j}$ is 2-regular and $c_{j}$ is a proper 2-edge coloring, there exists an edge $v w \in C_{j}$ that $c_{j}(v w)=2$. Therefore, $f_{2}(v w)=j$. Thus, $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ is a 2 -simultaneous edge coloring of $G$.

Szekeres showed that the Petersen graph does not have an even circuit double cover [22]. Thus we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3. The Petersen graph is not 2-simultaneous edge colorable.
Proposition 2. The complete graph $K_{5}$ has no 2-simultaneous edge coloring.

Proof. Let $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ be a 2-simultaneous edge coloring of $K_{5}$ and $f_{i}^{j}=\{e \in E(G)$ : $\left.f_{i}(e)=j\right\}, i=1,2$ and $1 \leq j \leq 5$. Since $\chi^{\prime}\left(K_{5}\right)=5$ and $\left|E\left(K_{5}\right)\right|=10$, the induced subgraph by $f_{1}^{j} \cup f_{2}^{j}$ is a circuit of length 4 , for $1 \leq j \leq 5$. By the isomorphic, there is exactly one CDC of $K_{5}$ with even circuits, see Fig. 3. It is easy to check that the condition (iii) of Theorem 10 does not hold for this CDC, which is a contradiction.


Fig. 3. A CDC of $K_{5}$ with even circuits.

Theorem 11. Let $C$ be an even Hamiltonian circuit of $G$ and $G \backslash E(C)$ be a bipartite graph. If $G \backslash E(C)$ has an OCDC , then $G$ has a 2-simultaneous edge coloring.

Proof. By Theorem B, $G \backslash E(C)$ is 2-simultaneous edge colorable. Therefore by Theorem 10, it has a CDC, $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$, of even circuits that has a partition to even 2-regular subgraphs and a proper 2-edge coloring such that each edge of $G \backslash E(C)$ admits two different colors. Now let $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \cup\{C, C\}$. It is easily seen that, $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies three conditions of Theorem 10. Thus, $G$ is 2 -simultaneous edge colorable.

By Theorem $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{i})$ and (iii), we have the following corollary.
Corollary 4. Let $C$ be an even Hamiltonian circuit of $G$ and $G \backslash E(C)$ be a bipartite graph. If every edge of $G \backslash E(C)$ is contained in a circuit of length 4 in $G \backslash E(C)$, then $G$ is a 2 -simultaneous edge colorable graph.

An even circuit decomposition (ECD) of a graph $G$ is a partition of $E(G)$ into circuits of even length [18]. If $G$ has an ECD, then two copies of this decomposition satisfies three conditions of Theorem 10. Hence, $G$ is 2-simultaneous edge colorable.

Evidently, every even bipartite graph has an ECD. Seymour in [21] proved that every 2 -connected loopless even planar graph with an even number of edges also admits an ECD. Later, Zhang in [23] generalized this to graphs with no $K_{5}$-minor.

Markström in [18] considered the existence of ECDs in 4-regular graphs. A class of 4-regular graphs is the line graphs of cubic graphs. He conjectured that 4-regular line graphs of 2 -connected cubic graphs have ECDs.

Theorem G ([18]). If a cubic graph $G$ has an even circuit double cover, then $L(G)$ has an ECD.

Theorem H ([18]). If $G$ is a three edge colorable cubic graph, then $L(G)$ has an ECD.

Now we can conclude the following corollary.
Corollary 5. (i) Every even bipartite graph has a 2-simultaneous edge coloring.
(ii) Every 2-connected even planar graph with an even number of edges is 2simultaneous edge colorable.
(iii) The line graph of every 1-factorable cubic graph has a 2-simultaneous edge coloring.
(iv) The line graph of every 2-simultaneous edge colorable cubic graph also is 2simultaneous edge colorable.

It is an immediate consequence of the configuration model for random regular graphs that almost all cubic graphs are three edge colorable [4]. We say that a property holds asymptotically almost surely if the probability that a graph on $n$ vertices has the property tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary 6. If $G$ is a random cubic graph then asymptotically almost surely $L(G)$ has a 2-simultaneous edge coloring.

Conjecture 4 ([18]). If $G$ is a 2-connected cubic graph, then $L(G)$ has an ECD.
Conjecture 5. The line graph of every 2-connected cubic graph admits a 2simultaneous edge coloring.

By Corollary 5(iii), Conjecture 5 is true for three edge colorable graph. One way of quantifying how far a cubic graph is from being three edge colorable is by its oddness. A 2-connected cubic graph $G$ has oddness $o(G)=k$ if $k$ is the smallest number of odd circuits in a 2 -factor of $G$.

By Petersen's theorem every 2-connected cubic graph has at least three 2-factors [19]. A three edge colorable graph has oddness 0 , since the edges of the first two colors induce a bipartite 2 -factor. It is proved that cubic graphs of oddness at most 4 have circuit double covers $[9,11,12]$.

Theorem I ([18]). If $G$ is a 2-connected cubic graph with $o(G)=2$, then $L(G)$ has an ECD.

Corollary 7. The line graph of every 2 -connected cubic graph with oddness at most 2 admits a 2-simultaneous edge coloring.
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